

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA241461**

Filing date: **10/08/2008**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92049926
Party	Defendant Cloudstreet, Inc. dba Roxbury Entertainment
Correspondence Address	Cloudstreet, Inc. dba Roxbury Entertainment 201 Wilshire Boulevard, Second Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 UNITED STATES
Submission	Motion to Extend
Filer's Name	PAUL D. SUPNIK
Filer's e-mail	paul@supnik.com
Signature	/paul d. supnik/
Date	10/08/2008
Attachments	PLDG USPTO MTN to Extend time to answer.pdf (3 pages)(10967 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

<p>PENTHOUSE DIGITAL MEDIA PRODUCTIONS, INC.,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>CLOUDSTREET, INC. DBA ROXBURY ENTERTAINMENT,</p> <p>Registrant.</p>	<p>Cancellation No. 92049926</p> <p>Registration Nos. 3189543; 3194255; 3291736</p> <p>Registered: December 26, 2006; January 2, 2007; September 11, 2007</p> <p>Mark: ROUTE 66</p>
---	---

REGISTRANT'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME
TO RESPOND TO PETITION FOR CANCELLATION

Commissioner for Trademarks
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Petitioner CLOUDSTREET, INC. hereby moves to extend the time to respond to the Petition for Cancellation. The time for responding to the Petition for Cancellation has been initially set for October 22, 2008. A motion by Registrant to suspend pending resolution of a civil action was filed on October 7, 2008. Applicant requests that the time to respond to the Petition for Cancellation

be extended until at least 20 days following the filing of a decision by the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board on the Registrant's Motion to Suspend.

Registrant requests additional time under FRCP Rule 6(b), 15 CFR 2.116(a), TMBP 509, to respond to the Petition for Cancellation. In the event that the pending motion to suspend were granted and no response were filed by Registrant, Registrant would be in default. Filing a response would in part negate the purpose of the motion for suspension.

In the event that the pending motion to suspend was denied, that decision could come following the due date of a response to the Petition for Cancellation, potentially placing Registrant in default.

Moreover, this motion is being filed two weeks prior to the time to respond to the Petition for Cancellation, thus well within the period for the applicability of the "good cause" standard.

CONCLUSION

Since a motion to suspend was filed and this motion is being filed well prior to the due date for a response, and good cause having been shown, Registrant requests that the time be suspended at least until 20 after a negative decision on the motion to suspend, or until such time as the dates in this matter are reset following the termination of the pending civil proceeding.

Dated: October 8, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/s/
Paul D. Supnik
9401 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1012
Beverly Hills, California 90212
Telephone: (310) 859-0100
Facsimile: (310) 388-5645

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing REGISTRANT'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO PETITION FOR CANCELLATION was served by first class mail, postage prepaid, on this 8TH day of October 2008, upon counsel for Petitioner:

Floyd A. Mandell, Esq.
Lisa K. Shebar, Esq.
Cathay Y. N. Smith, Esq.
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP
525 West Monroe Street
Chicago, IL 60661

/s/
PAUL D. SUPNIK